

California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs

Fourth Annual Technical Assistance Conference

CLAIM



Home Page: <http://www.adp.ca.gov>

SACPA STATE REPORT

Successes and Challenges

Allocations

The new formula:

- 50% based on population
- 40% on SACPA client caseload
- 10% on drug arrests

Excess Funds


▶ **County return of “excess” funds**

▶ **Distribute returned funds to counties that can spend funds**

FY 2004/05 County Plans (cont.)



Multi-Year County Plan



**Analysis of FY 2004/05 Plans from the 58
Counties**

Annual Report to the Legislature

▶ **Second Annual Report to the Legislature released in May 2004 via ACLA 04-05:**

- ▶ **CLIENT DEMOGRAPHICS AND TREATMENT INFORMATION**
- ▶ **PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION**
- ▶ **PROGRAM FUNDING AND EXPENDITURES**

Recidivism Among an Early Cohort of California's Prop 36 Offenders

Dr. David Farabee, Integrated Substance Abuse Programs at the UCLA Neuropsychiatric Institute

Published on November 26, 2004 and funded by NIDA.

Recidivism Among an Early Cohort of California's Prop 36 Offenders (cont.)

Study covered the first six months of SACPA

Study compared 688 SACPA clients to other criminal justice and non-criminal justice clients

Study is a snapshot in time using CalTOP data

Technical Assistance

➤ **Technical Assistance Request to the federal Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT) submitted in September 2004.**

➤ **Assistance needed to “...explore, explain, interpret, and address the principal reasons for some of the findings from the UCLA evaluation.”**

Technical Assistance (cont.)

➤ **Identification of “interim and mid-course programmatic changes” that would improve policies, treatment environments, and client outcomes.**

➤ **The Avisa Group to consult with individual county representatives**

Dedicated Capacity

► Possible regulation change to establish a minimum SACPA allowance for counties with a population of under 100,000.

► Would allow expenditures resulting in unused capacity if those expenditures are both reasonable and necessary to assure available capacity to serve clients pursuant to the Act.

Audit Issues

▶ **41 counties have received audits for FY 2001-02**

▶ **Common major issues that ADP'S Auditors are finding:**

- ▶ Use of SACPA funds for drug testing
- ▶ Dedicated Capacity
- ▶ Contracting with unlicensed or uncertified programs

ACLA Letters in Development

▶ Probation Post-Treatment Probation

▶ Parolees qualifying for treatment funding through SASCA and SACPA

▶ CDC plans to issue a letter counties regarding HIPPA compliance for SACPA parolee clients

Conclusion



We have some formidable challenges to face together in this last year of California's first SACPA authorization.